## SAN JOSE CAPITAL OF SILICON VALLEY Early Consideration Response Form

Department			Housing				Rules Date 9-6-23				Item			
Department Rep. Name/Ext.			Rosa	alynn Hughe	еу		Councilmember Sponsorship				Mahan, Jimenez, and Batra			
Policy/Ordinance Subject			Safe	Parking Pr	ogram Require	ements								
Staff Recommendation														
GR	EEN Adopt ba	ased o	n tradeo	offs YELL	OW Refer to Prio	ority Setting	RED Re	commend	Council	not	V NEED	OS CLARIFICA	ATION OR	
	outlined on next p			t page or to Budget P			Process adopt nominated ide			a	MORE TIME TO EVALUATE			
Staff Evaluation														
Is this already underway in a department work plan? Is this time critical or an er							nergency? Will this require substantial resources, staffinger substantial resources in the state of the state					staffing, budget,		
Yes	No			Yes						-				
Yes     No     Yes     No       Criterion to Determine Scale of Project Complexity     Yes     No														
Project complexity is determined by scoring the project in each of the 3 criterions below and then summing the score.														
a. Low Complexity is a sum of 6 or less.														
b. Medium Complexity is a sum of $7 - 9$ . Total Score = 0														
	c. High Complexity is a su			Low Compl			Medium Complexity				High Complexity			
Scoring Criterion	Estimated Duration		$6-9 \text{ months} \qquad \Box=1$			I 9 - 18 mon	9 - 18 months $\Box = 2$			More than 18 months $\Box = 3$				
	Organizational Complexity		Canlea	sily be absorb			Planned work (future) $\Box = 2$				Work not currently proposed $\Box = 3$			
				isting work pla										
	(Internal)			taff with requi	red 🗆 = :		Have staff with required skillset/ requires moderate research $\Box = 2$ 3 - 4 staff required $\Box = 2$				Do not have staff with required skillset/requires significant research $\Box = 3$ More than 5 staff required $\Box = 3$			
				/knowledge										
				an or equal 2		L 3 - 4 staff r								
	(External)		staff re	•	nent; no 🛛 = :	1 2 Othor do	2 Other departments Involved; $\Box = 2$				3 or more departments and/or external □= 3			
	(LAternal)						some community outreach required $\Box = 2$				partners involved; significant community outreach required			
DEPT. Required	Airport     Au		ditor	🗆 смо	□ OEDCA	🗆 ESD	🗆 Fire	🗆 HR	П П		PRNS	Police	Retirement	
	□ Attorney	🗆 Clerk		CMO – Budget	<ul> <li>Community</li> <li>Energy</li> </ul>	□ Finance	□ Housing	🗆 IPA	🗆 Lib	rary	D PBCE	🗆 PW	□ DOT	

CMO Approval: /s/ Lee Wilcox

Date 9/6/2023

Anal	VSIS
	7515

Explain the rationale for staff recommendation, including any mitigating factors that need to be considered (recent legislative action, significant work plan changes, etc.). Please address the following as well.

GREEN LIGHT: The Administration can implement this nominated idea under its current work plan. Item should be sent to Council to add to department work plan. (1) How will the idea be approached? (2) If adopted, what is its impact and/or tradeoff to the City Council Focus Area or to a department work plan, including strategic support? (3) What is the minimum viable scope to move the idea forward and reduce its complexity?

[Staff request more time to evaluate] - The direction in this memorandum impacts the Housing Department's and City Attorney's Office workplans. Due to a long holiday weekend as well as preparation for an upcoming Study Session this week, staff respectfully request one additional week to evaluate the impacts of this proposal.

YELLOW LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council send this nominated idea to the Priority Setting Process or to the Budget Process due to (describe cost implications, workload impacts, or other factors).

RED LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council not to adopt this nominated idea due to (describe reason implementation would be difficult if not impossible – conflict with other laws, etc.).