

FW: Daniel Martinez 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:42 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 6:10 PM

To: district5united([REDACTED]) District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Daniel Martinez 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Daniel Martinez
95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Jim Frizzell 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:42 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 6:14 PM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Jim Frizzell 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Many San Jose parks are a disgrace for the City. An excellent example is Alum Rock Park that you've neglected for many years.

Jim Frizzell
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Rachel Martinez 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:42 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 6:22 PM

To: district5united [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Rachel Martinez 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Rachel Martinez
95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Katja Irvin 95116 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:42 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 6:43 PM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Katja Irvin 95116 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Katja Irvin
95116

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Lisa Bayer 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:42 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 6:47 PM

To: district5united <[REDACTED]> District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Lisa Bayer 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Lisa Bayer
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Margaret Tritton 95116 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:43 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 7:10 PM

To: district5united <[REDACTED]> District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Margaret Tritton 95116 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Parks & Recreation have already taken a budget hit with the new union wage agreement. We can't afford a further reduction in the P&R operating budget.

Margaret Tritton
95116

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Cathie Cisneros 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:43 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 7:21 PM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Cathie Cisneros 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Cathie Cisneros
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Michael Gokey 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:43 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 8:19 PM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Michael Gokey 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Michael Gokey
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Daniel Reyes 95135 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:43 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 9:09 PM

To: district5united([REDACTED]) District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Daniel Reyes 95135 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Parks is where families can enjoy social get together

Daniel Reyes
95135

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Steve Robles 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:44 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 9:24 PM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Steve Robles 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Steve Robles
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Yolanda Amaro 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:44 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 10:38 PM

To: district5united [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Yolanda Amaro 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Yolanda Amaro
95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Chryssi Blackwell 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:44 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 11:19 PM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Chryssi Blackwell 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Chryssi Blackwell
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: aurelia sanchez 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:48 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 4:42 AM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: aurelia sanchez 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Please do not consider waiving or reducing park fees. For too long, our city council and mayors have never really addressed the tax money needed to keep our parks safe and maintained especially in our underserved neighborhoods. If a housing developer wants a reduction in park fees more than likely this developer is more likely a candidate that cannot afford to build in the area and will have a poor record of being a landlord. You discriminate when you allow some to pay and others don't have too and create a system where certain districts have beautiful green spaces and others have deserts.

aurelia sanchez
95112

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Mike Beggs 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:52 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 9:08 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Mike Beggs 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

San Jose parks are critical for us to achieve good physical and mental health. And given the strong connection between deteriorating mental health and increased susceptibility to becoming unhoused, anything that improves mental health must be a priority in the housing crisis.

Mike Beggs

95112

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Lisa E Hettler-Smith 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:53 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 6:05 PM

To: sanjoseunity <[REDACTED]> District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Lisa E Hettler-Smith 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Lisa E Hettler-Smith
95112

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: John Jimenez 95128 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:53 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 10:31 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: John Jimenez 95128 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

John Jimenez
95128

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Bob Pedretti 95125 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:53 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 6:54 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Bob Pedretti 95125 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Park fees help maintain our current parks and fund those in the future. There is no reason why some one who uses the park for a specific purpose should not pay for their entitlement to use the park for their purpose.

Bob Pedretti
95125

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Alie Victorine 95111 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:53 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 9:47 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Alie Victorine 95111 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Blight is one if the mayor's concerns, yet our parks are barely being maintained, which ends up adding to the blight of our neighborhoods.

Alie Victorine
95111

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Jeffrey Bowman 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:53 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 5:42 PM
To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Jeffrey Bowman 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Jeffrey Bowman
95112

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Marlene Fischer 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:53 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 11:33 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Marlene Fischer 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Marlene Fischer
95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Danicka Le 95050 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:53 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 8:09 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Danicka Le 95050 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Danicka Le
95050

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Carmelia Hicks 95133 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:53 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 6:24 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Carmelia Hicks 95133 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Carmelia Hicks
95133

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Tim Zadel 95118 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:54 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 10:31 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Tim Zadel 95118 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

We are very short on park space, and our parks are filthy and poorly maintained. We should increase park impact fees, not waive or decrease them.

Tim Zadel
95118

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Tamara Wade 95126 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:54 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 7:35 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Tamara Wade 95126 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Parks provide a calm and warm place in nature for people to enjoy the outdoors. It is good for the mental health of the individuals and communities. Safe and affordable housing in San Jose has long been an issue. Encampments, blight and lack of support for the unsheltered should not be occurring in a wealthy Silicon Valley.

Tamara Wade
95126

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Christine Nagel 95126 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:54 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 6:13 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Christine Nagel 95126 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Christine Nagel
95126

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Kathryn Hedges 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:54 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 9:27 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Kathryn Hedges 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

San José already has difficulty maintaining its current parks, let alone opening new parks. Developers routinely get away with including "green space" in developments, where the public is not genuinely welcome, in lieu of contributing to the support of parks that all residents can use. Open space at schools is not accessible to the public during the school day, and sometimes not even outside school hours either. It is not appropriate to run dogs at school fields because children use those fields and don't need dog waste where they play. (And school maintenance staff shouldn't be tasked with inspecting a large field for dog waste.) Park Impact Fees are not where the City should be cutting costs to developers.

Kathryn Hedges
95112

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: billz iams 95132 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:54 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 5:32 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: billz iams 95132 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

billz iams
95132

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Gina Dillard Spada 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:54 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 5:25 PM
To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Gina Dillard Spada 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Please note that as a homeowner/taxpayer in Santa Clara County I already see the massive disparity when it comes to parks/park maintenance between the west side and downtown or east side.

Its proven that parks are beneficial for communities –

Parks are a welcome respite from the urban jungle that San Jose has become.

Gina Dillard Spada
95112

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Alie Victorine 95111 - Protect Our Parks

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:54 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 15, 2023 12:43 PM
To: sanjoseunity <[REDACTED]>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Alie Victorine 95111 - Protect Our Parks

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our parks. The Parks and Recreation Commission will discuss the City Charter definition of “public parks” in December 2023. Per the Charter, “public parks” means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Our community needs public parks and urban green space, both of which are a basic need that promote physical and mental health. Many parks still require repair and maintenance and staff are needed for either to occur. A park by any other name is a park. Thank you in advance for protecting our current and planned parks and park funding.

Parks need to be protected and cared for. Money needs to be set aside for maintenace. Parks are too important to not be cared for.

Alie Victorine
95111

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Jeff Levine 95116 - Protect Our Parks

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:54 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, October 14, 2023 12:56 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Jeff Levine 95116 - Protect Our Parks

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our parks. The Parks and Recreation Commission will discuss the City Charter definition of “public parks” in December 2023. Per the Charter, “public parks” means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Our community needs public parks and urban green space, both of which are a basic need that promote physical and mental health. Many parks still require repair and maintenance and staff are needed for either to occur. A park by any other name is a park. Thank you in advance for protecting our current and planned parks and park funding.

Jeff Levine
95116

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Lupe Maya Ortega 95117 - Protect Our Parks

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:55 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, October 14, 2023 1:48 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Lupe Maya Ortega 95117 - Protect Our Parks

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our parks. The Parks and Recreation Commission will discuss the City Charter definition of “public parks” in December 2023. Per the Charter, “public parks” means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Our community needs public parks and urban green space, both of which are a basic need that promote physical and mental health. Many parks still require repair and maintenance and staff are needed for either to occur. A park by any other name is a park. Thank you in advance for protecting our current and planned parks and park funding.

None for now

Lupe Maya Ortega
95117

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Davide Vieira 95116 - Protect Our Parks

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:55 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, October 14, 2023 1:13 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Davide Vieira 95116 - Protect Our Parks

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our parks. The Parks and Recreation Commission will discuss the City Charter definition of “public parks” in December 2023. Per the Charter, “public parks” means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Our community needs public parks and urban green space, both of which are a basic need that promote physical and mental health. Many parks still require repair and maintenance and staff are needed for either to occur. A park by any other name is a park. Thank you in advance for protecting our current and planned parks and park funding.

Parks are essential for helping relieve the loneliness that is infecting society today. We need to connect with others, both those close to us and new friends who you could possibly meet in a park setting.

Davide Vieira
95116

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Ana Briones 95117 - Protect Our Parks

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:55 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Saturday, October 14, 2023 1:48 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Ana Briones 95117 - Protect Our Parks

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our parks. The Parks and Recreation Commission will discuss the City Charter definition of “public parks” in December 2023. Per the Charter, “public parks” means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Our community needs public parks and urban green space, both of which are a basic need that promote physical and mental health. Many parks still require repair and maintenance and staff are needed for either to occur. A park by any other name is a park. Thank you in advance for protecting our current and planned parks and park funding.

Ana Briones
95117

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Trudy E 95127 - Protect Our Parks

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:55 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Saturday, October 14, 2023 1:11 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Trudy E 95127 - Protect Our Parks

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our parks. The Parks and Recreation Commission will discuss the City Charter definition of “public parks” in December 2023. Per the Charter, “public parks” means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Our community needs public parks and urban green space, both of which are a basic need that promote physical and mental health. Many parks still require repair and maintenance and staff are needed for either to occur. A park by any other name is a park. Thank you in advance for protecting our current and planned parks and park funding.

Trudy E
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Juan Maya Ortega 95117 - Protect Our Parks

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:55 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, October 14, 2023 12:52 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Juan Maya Ortega 95117 - Protect Our Parks

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our parks. The Parks and Recreation Commission will discuss the City Charter definition of “public parks” in December 2023. Per the Charter, “public parks” means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Our community needs public parks and urban green space, both of which are a basic need that promote physical and mental health. Many parks still require repair and maintenance and staff are needed for either to occur. A park by any other name is a park. Thank you in advance for protecting our current and planned parks and park funding.

Juan Maya Ortega
95117

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Mitchell Ehrlich 95125 - Protect Our Parks

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:55 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Saturday, October 14, 2023 7:30 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Mitchell Ehrlich 95125 - Protect Our Parks

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our parks. The Parks and Recreation Commission will discuss the City Charter definition of “public parks” in December 2023. Per the Charter, “public parks” means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Our community needs public parks and urban green space, both of which are a basic need that promote physical and mental health. Many parks still require repair and maintenance and staff are needed for either to occur. A park by any other name is a park. Thank you in advance for protecting our current and planned parks and park funding.

Mitchell Ehrlich
95125

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Alie Victorine 95111 - Protect Our Parks

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:55 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Sunday, October 15, 2023 12:44 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Alie Victorine 95111 - Protect Our Parks

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our parks. The Parks and Recreation Commission will discuss the City Charter definition of “public parks” in December 2023. Per the Charter, “public parks” means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Our community needs public parks and urban green space, both of which are a basic need that promote physical and mental health. Many parks still require repair and maintenance and staff are needed for either to occur. A park by any other name is a park. Thank you in advance for protecting our current and planned parks and park funding.

Alie Victorine
95111

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Ana Maria Russo 95125 - Protect Our Parks

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 7:55 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Saturday, October 14, 2023 2:23 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Ana Maria Russo 95125 - Protect Our Parks

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our parks. The Parks and Recreation Commission will discuss the City Charter definition of “public parks” in December 2023. Per the Charter, “public parks” means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Our community needs public parks and urban green space, both of which are a basic need that promote physical and mental health. Many parks still require repair and maintenance and staff are needed for either to occur. A park by any other name is a park. Thank you in advance for protecting our current and planned parks and park funding.

Ana Maria Russo
95125

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Lucille B Chacon 95138 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 8:22 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2023 5:40 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Lucille B Chacon 95138 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Lucille B Chacon
95138

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Gloria Fernandez 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 8:22 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 8:22 AM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Gloria Fernandez 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Gloria Fernandez
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: William and Margaret Reed 95122 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 8:39 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 8:27 AM

To: district5united [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: William and Margaret Reed 95122 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Subject line: [your-name] [your-zip] – 10/26/23 Study Session – Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. Furthermore, we already don't have enough greenspace in the city, so what we do have is overused. Degradation of these parks will happen very quickly if reduce the already minimal funding that goes o the park system.

**Margaret Reed
95122**

William and Margaret Reed
95122

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--
This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Tina Rivera 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 8:39 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 8:39 AM

To: district5united [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Tina Rivera 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

The parks that charge a fee need to continue that, almost every single one needs updates and continued care. Alum Rock Park, for example, has had some trails closed for years since there has not been enough upkeep. Perhaps a closer look at parks and their current state would be enough to sway you not to cut off this funding for them.

Tina Rivera
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Samuel Carrasco 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 8:50 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 8:45 AM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Samuel Carrasco 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Samuel Carrasco
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Marilyn Smith 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 9:26 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 8:56 AM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Marilyn Smith 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Parks are essential to a good life. Don't place them on rockier footing by lowering Park Impact Fees.

Marilynn Smith
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Donna Miranda 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 9:26 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 9:12 AM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Donna Miranda 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Invest in upgrading Alum Rock Park! It's a beautiful park and it's neglected and run down. If the city can spend millions of dollars on parks in more affluent neighborhoods it should also allocate more money and resources for conservation efforts in maintaining such a popular and historic park.

Donna Miranda
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Marjorie Craig 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 9:26 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 9:21 AM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Marjorie Craig 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Marjorie Craig
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Maria Burrus 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 9:26 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 8:28 AM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Maria Burrus 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Live close to Raymond Bernal Park, We need the park to have better upkeep each week, cleaning, weeding, and gopher trapping because there are a lot of holes and people could hurt themselves trying to walk or play on the grass area. Also, the gophers go into neighbors yards and we have a hard time getting rid of them because of the parks are infested with them. Place more signs of what you can not do at the park. Bathrooms need to be locked at night, Need to keep the homeless out, and Camping, and motorhomes are prohibited. Neighbors have to call to get them out. It takes days or weeks.

Maria Burrus
95112

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Barbara Atak 95136 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 9:26 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 8:33 AM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Barbara Atak 95136 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Barbara Atak
95136

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community working together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Ralph Portillo 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 9:27 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 8:36 AM
To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Ralph Portillo 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Ralph Portillo
95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Michael Kraus 95133 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 9:27 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 8:27 AM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Michael Kraus 95133 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

How does the City of San Jose dictate the maintenance and repair of county parks located within the city of San Jose boundaries, specifically Penitencia Creek Park. The park R&M is not up to the standard of a city run park.

Michael Kraus
95133

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Patricia Blevins 95118 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 9:27 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 8:59 AM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Patricia Blevins 95118 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Having lived in San Jose since 1958 I know how beautifully kept our parks and open spaces were when far fewer people lived in San Jose but their tax dollars were spent on what the residents said they wanted.

The City Council now takes up its time deciding on detriments to the City like digital billboards when 85% of residents are against these unsightly and unhealthy and expensive eye sores instead of spending their time and OUR tax money on beautifying our parks and housing those who are forced to live on our streets. If SJ could be one of the country's most beautiful and safe cities in the 60's,70's and 80's when far fewer people lived here, we KNOW it could be done now. However, it would require the City Council to listen to the taxpayers/residents/voters for ONCE. Now is the time! The residents of SJ deserve nothing less!

Patricia Blevins
95118

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

FW: Rachel Grocha Welch 95128 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 10:04 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 10:01 AM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Rachel Grocha Welch 95128 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Rachel Grocha Welch
95128

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Anna Del Castillo 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 10:53 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 10:48 AM

To: district5united [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Anna Del Castillo 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Anna Del Castillo
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Shawna Feci 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 10:54 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 10:53 AM

To: district5united[REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Shawna Feci 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Shawna Feci
95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Mark San Giovanni 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 11:37 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 11:25 AM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Mark San Giovanni 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Mark San Giovanni
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Mark Luiso 95118 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 11:58 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 9:27 AM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Mark Luiso 95118 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

I want a free little house too! But, I'll take you protecting or parks for now.

Mark Luiso
95118

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Davide Vieira 95116-2350 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 11:58 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 9:37 AM

To: sanjoseunity <[REDACTED]> District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Davide Vieira 95116-2350 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Parks enable us to get out to enjoy the outdoors, enjoy some physical activity, and engage with others. These days, with the echo chamber of social media, engaging in-person with others seems more important than ever.

Davide Vieira
95116-2350

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Mary Pizzo 95125 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 11:58 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 11:44 AM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Mary Pizzo 95125 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Mary Pizzo
95125

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Mary Lindemuth 95118 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 11:58 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 11:13 AM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Mary Lindemuth 95118 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Mary Lindemuth
95118

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Bill Rankin 95125 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 11:58 AM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 11:26 AM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Bill Rankin 95125 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Bill Rankin
95125

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Christiane Clark 95050 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 12:00 PM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 12:00 PM

To: district5united <[REDACTED]> District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Christiane Clark 95050 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Christiane Clark
95050

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Rod Smith 95127-1649 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 12:39 PM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 12:06 PM

To: district5united [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Rod Smith 95127-1649 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Our parks are in sad shape. Alum Park, the queen jewel of SJ parks, is still reeling from the storm damages earlier this year. Nothing has been done to repair/mitigate the damaged roadway, and that is only going to get worse again this coming winter. That means an expensive repair is only going to become more costly. So, the cancer continues to grow unabated sadly.

Rod Smith
95127-1649

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Arvind Kumar 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 12:39 PM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 12:08 PM

To: district5united [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Arvind Kumar 95148 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Arvind Kumar
95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: David Goldberg 95125 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 12:39 PM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 12:25 PM
To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: David Goldberg 95125 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Subject: David Goldberg, 95125 10/26/23 Study Session – Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

I urge you to please continue to protect our park impact fees for funding our parks. Please consider waiving or reducing the park impact fees.

***David Goldberg
95125***

David Goldberg
95125

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

FW: James Marshall 95125 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 1:31 PM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 1:16 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: James Marshall 95125 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

James Marshall
95125

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Ilesha Bayona 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 2:24 PM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 2:06 PM

To: district5united [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Ilesha Bayona 95127 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

I genuinely cannot stress enough, the importance of access and accessibility of our parks. I love living in East San Jose and we deserve and demand equity when it comes to the care and consideration of our neighborhoods. It is overdue and I hope that you will stand with the residents of East San Jose and invest in our neighborhoods and community in the same way you would any other neighborhood. The inequity is real, please choose to be part of the solution..

Ilesha Bayona
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Nat Robinson 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 2:24 PM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 2:15 PM

To: district5united [REDACTED]; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Nat Robinson 95112 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Keep Park Impact Fees as they are. Do not reduce this important source of growing a green space around new construction/housing projects . Park Impact Fees should be bound to a project and not something that can be negotiated. If anything PIF should be increased to help grow green space in San Jose.

Thank you,

Nat Robinson

Chair, Friends of Backesto Park

Nat Robinson

95112

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Patty Linder 95136 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 10/26/2023 2:35 PM

To:Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2023 1:55 PM

To: sanjoseunity [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Patty Linder 95136 - 10/26/23 Study Session - Protect Our Parks, Park Impact Fees

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect our Parks. Protect our Park Impact Fees.

Thank you for attempting to address the housing crisis and for protecting Park Impact Fees. The city's consultant has noted that the city could consider waiving or further reducing Park Impact Fees, which provide funds for new and existing parks. One should not suggest that we attempt to address one crisis by worsening another. Our community needs adequate housing. Our community also needs public parks and urban green space, which promote physical and mental health. For the benefit of residents, just like the housing needed.

Patty Linder
95136

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United

[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.