City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:53 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 10:04 AM To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation [You don't often get email from Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Lewis Pollard

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:53 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 10:04 AM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Rej	ect the Alternative Recommendation
Nou don't often not enail from	Learn why this is important at
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Rachel Witmever</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:53 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

To: City Clerk < Second Stress Stress Second Stress Stress Second Stress Stress Second Stress Second Stress Second Stress	From:	
To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation	Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 10:05 AM	
	To: City Clerk <	
	Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative	e Recommendation
[You don't often get email from Learn why this is important at	[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Melissa Abe

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:53 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 10:05 AM To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation [You don't often get email from Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Mary Lindemuth</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:54 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 10:14 A	M
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Cour	ncil Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIde	Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Jane Iverson

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:54 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 10:18 AM To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation [You don't often get email from Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Karen Smith

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:54 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:			I
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 10	24 AM		
To: City Clerk <			
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5	Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alter	native Recommendation	
_			
[You don't often get email from		Learn why this is important	t at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSende	<u>rldentification</u>]	-	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Kristen Blair</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:54 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 10:36 /	AM
To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Court	ncil Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIde	Learn why this is important at ntification]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Kevin Golden

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:54 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

 From:

 Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 10:40 AM

 To: City Clerk

 Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from <u>https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification</u>]

Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Linda Reis

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:54 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 10:57 AM To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation [You don't often get email from Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Katie Curnyn

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:54 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 10:58 AM To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation [You don't often get email from Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Penny Pollock

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:54 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:			
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 11:	07 AM		
To: City Clerk <			
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 (Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alterr	ative Recommendation	
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSender	Identification]	Learn why this is important at	t

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Sylvia Sinsav</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:55 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 1	L:11 AM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5	Council Policy 5-1: Reject the	e Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSende		Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, HOLLY PELKING

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:55 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
>	
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 11:14 AM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternation	tive Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	
•	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Chris Allingham</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:55 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:			I
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 11:	.5 AM		
To: City Clerk <			
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 C	ouncil Policy 5-1: Reject the Alter	native Recommendation	
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSender	dentification]	Learn why this is importan	it at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Phillip Carr

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:55 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 11:38 AM

To: City Clerk <

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

To reiterate, please follow the Planning Department staff recommendation and reject the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land.

I appreciate your attention and your action in making this possible.

May your holiday season be filled with Love, Compassion and Integrity. Kind regards.

Sincerely,

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:55 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 11:57 AM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject	the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Claude McDonald</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:55 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 12:03	M	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Cou	ncil Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternativ	e Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIde	ntification]	Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Sylvia Nobbmann</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:55 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 12:18 PM To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from <u>https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification</u>]

Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I support the Committee for Green Foothills and oppose overdevelopment of open space without public input and approval.

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation, while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. One developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Eric Meece

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:56 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 12:51 PM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Poli	cy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentificat	ion]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Barbara Canup</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:56 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 1:35 PM To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Please, please, please! We have an opportunity to protect our open space. That time is right now. Once it is gone, it is gone. There is no turning back. Please be a part of the populous that sees the tremendous future value of maintaining this open space. Your grandchildren and their grandchildren will thank you!

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Rodney Kirk

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:56 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 2:22 PM To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation [You don't often get email from Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Allen Leinwand</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:56 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 2:22 PM	
To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternat	tive Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

[External Email]

I ask that you follow the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan.

Sincerely, Mark Bloom

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:56 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 3:0	0 PM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5	Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alte	ernative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from		Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSende	rldentification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Reb</u>ecca Tannous

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:56 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 3:02 PM		
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Counc	il Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation	
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdent	Learn why this is important at tification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Lisa Bedard</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:56 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 4:17 PM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1	: Reject the Alternative Recommendation
	-
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	
<u>Interest for a contraction of a contrac</u>	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Vernon Cotten</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:56 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:			
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 5:09	PM		
To: City Clerk <			
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 C	ouncil Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternat	ive Recommendation	
[You don't often get email from		Learn why this is important at	
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderl	dentification]		

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, BS Bhamra

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:57 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 5:41	L PM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 C	ouncil Policy 5-1: Reject t	he Alternative Recommendation
		Learn why this is important at
[You don't often get email from		

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Carlos Muller Pereira

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:57 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 5:10 PM	-
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council F	Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentifi	cation]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, M Singh

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:57 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 6:27 PM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation	
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentificatio	Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Emma Hartung</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:57 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 6:52 P	м	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Cou	ncil Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation	
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIde	Learn why this is important at ntification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, <u>Anne Foran</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:57 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 7:35 PM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject th	ne Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from <u>https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification</u>]	Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Please follow the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a c ear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose. Please consider the well-being and quality of life of all community members when making this decision; if the Council would like to consider redevelopment on significant parcels of land such as the Pleasant Hills Gold Course site, it is essential that community members have an opportunity to weigh in on how development can benefit local residents and our community as a whole.

Thank you in advance for valuing transparent processes and community engagement in decisions that affect the quality of life in our city.

Sincerely, <u>Teresa Ponikvar</u>

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:57 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 10:18	PM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Co	uncil Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternat	tive Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderlo	entification]	Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Meredith Leonard South San Jose Resident opposing the abuse of land management

Sincerely, Meredith Leonard

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:57 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 12:37 AM	
To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Reco	ommendation
[You don't often get email from <u>https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification</u>]	Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Fernando Mora

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:58 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 5:	24 AM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Co	uncil Policy 5-1: Reject the Altern	ative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderld	lentification]	Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Jenna Kress

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:58 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 6:01	AM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Cour	ncil Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation	
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is importa	ant at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIde	ntification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Kaaren Powers

City Clerk <	
Fri 12/2/2022 12:58 PM	
To: Agendadesk <	

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	<	on behalf of Kaaren Powers
Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 6:	03 AM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Co	uncil Policy 5-1: Reject th	e Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderlo	lentification]	Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city. Kaaren Powers

Sincerely, Kaaren Powers

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:58 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 6:5	AM	
To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Co	ncil Policy 5-1: Reject the Alter	native Recommendation
	neir olicy 3-1. Reject the Alter	
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderld	ntification]	Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Alejandro Funtes

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:58 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 9:29	AM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Cou	ncil Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Re	commendation
[You don't often get email from <u>https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIde</u>		earn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Rocio Deras

City Clerk <	
Fri 12/2/2022 12:59	9 PM
To: Agendadesk	<

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: R Smith <					
Sent: Saturday, November	26, 2022 9:47 AM				
To: The Office of Mayor Sar	m Liccardo <theoffi< td=""><td>D</td><td>istrict1 <</td><td></td><td>District2</td></theoffi<>	D	istrict1 <		District2
<	District3 <	District4 <	[District5	
<	District 6 <	District7 <		District8	
<	District9 <	District 10 <		City Clerk	
<					

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

Some people who received this message don't often get email from		Learn why this is important
------------------------------------------------------------------	--	-----------------------------

[External Email]

Dear Honorable San Jose Mayor and City Councilmembers,

I am thankful for the City Council's recent efforts to improve sustainably in the City's general plan and the Council's commitment to protecting our open spaces, as shown in recent efforts to preserve Coyote Valley.

I write to ask that you reject the Alternative Recommendation to the Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

Thank you,

Ryan Smith San Jose

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:59 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 10:15 A	M
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council	Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentif	ication]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Julia Wong

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:59 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 12:09 PM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Al	ternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Fred Banchero

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:59 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 1	:25 PM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 C	ouncil Policy 5-1: Reject the Alterna	ative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSender		Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Rena Zahorsky

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:59 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 1	:50 PM	
To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 C	ouncil Policy 5 1: Pajact the Alter	native Recommendation
	bunch Policy 3-1. Reject the Alten	
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSender	dentification]	Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Margaret Martinez

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:59 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
	>	
Sent: Saturday, Nov	ember 26, 2022 3:14 PM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22	Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject	the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often g		Learn why this is important at
<u>https://aka.ms/Lea</u>	arnAboutSenderIdentification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Elizabeth Guimarin

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 12:59 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 5:15 PM To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation [You don't often get email from Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Marian Fricano

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:00 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 5:53	PM
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Coun	cil Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIder	Learn why this is important at at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Philip Edholm

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:00 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2022 7:45 PM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Poli	icy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentificat	Learn why this is important at tion]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Susan Moynahan

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:00 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Sunday, November 27, 2022 12:48	M
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Coun	il Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIder	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Arvind Kumar

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:00 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
	>	
Sent: Sunday, Novemb	er 27, 2022 2:36 AM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Age	nda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Rejec	t the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get	email from	Learn why this is important at
	AboutSenderIdentification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, N.D. Cohn

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:00 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Sent: Sunday, November 27, 2022 4:40 AM To: City Clerk < Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation [You don't often get email from Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Sandi Strouse

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:00 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	on behalf of Michael Kutilek
Sent: Sunday, November 27, 2022 8:23 AM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: F	leject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

As a long-time San Jose resident, I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Michael Kutilek

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:01 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Sunday, November 27, 2022 1):28 AM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5	Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Al	ternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSende	rldentification]	Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Patricia Grilione

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:01 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
Sent: Sunday, November 27, 2022	1:46 AM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5	Council Policy 5-1: Reject t	he Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSend		Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Please follow the Planning Department staff recommendation to UPDATE the Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 and to REJECT the Alternative Recommendation which would facilitate development on Private Recreation (such as Pleasant Hills Golf Course) and Open Space land.

I fear failure to support this request will only encourage and even enhance the opportunities for future developers to apply with similar and/or more inappropriate land use projects. Many conservation and indigenous tribal groups have fought for decades to preserve the Coyote Valley.

If the Council chooses to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city MUST lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, the city and last but not least, Coyote Valley. It is a beautiful and natural gift from Nature!!!

Sincerely,

Patricia Grilione, PhD, Emeritus Professor of Microbiology

Sincerely, Patricia Griliuone

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:01 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Sunday, November 27, 2022 4:43 PM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1:	Reject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Jacqueline Gamaza

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:01 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Sunday, November 27, 2022 7:02 PM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the	Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Sarah Roj

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:01 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

tt	
ative Recommendation	
Learn why this is important at	
	ative Recommendation

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Julia Howlett

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:01 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:			
Sent: Sunday, November 27, 2022 8:	57 PM		
To: City Clerk <			
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 (Council Policy 5-1: Reject t	the Alternative Recommendation	
[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSender	Identification]	Learn why this is important at	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Pat Mitchell

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:02 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 12:02 AM

To: City Clerk <

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

For goodness' sake, it's time we found the will to provide more affordable housing for those who are homeless or near homeless.

If that's even part of what will be developed here, please make the change.

If you need help, I have a team of highly capable residents working on developing a master planned development for housing the un-sheltered and we'd be happy to get involved.

See here for an example of what we're working on:

https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?

 $\label{eq:url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.youtube.com%2Fwatch%3Fv%3D27XDnHnzdck&data=05%7C01%7Ccity.clerk%40sanjoseca.gov%7C365597a4fbd244d4eb7908dad116e120%7C0fe33be061424f969b8d7817d5c26139%7C1%7C0%7C638054313426725459%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWJjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTil6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C30000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=bOiexNVDKiY5Atljv7Qikqz0w619ION4FLK7QrE9MmY%3D&reserved=0$

Thank you.

Sincerely, Ray Solnik

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:02 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: >
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 8:01 AM
To: City Clerk <
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

We don't have a way to support only to reject. So here I am.

I support the proposed alternative recommendation. We need more housing in San Jose.

Drive down the streets of many neighborhoods on the east side. You will see the struggle for two cars to pass each other, the inability to park, the overflowing trash cans. There are 2 and 3 families occupying many of the homes.

The "open-space," is not public property and it is not accessible to the public. That use should not even be an option.

Build more homes! Save the schools in the area! Increase tax revenue!

Sincerely, Michela Duino

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:02 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 9:45 AM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the A	Iternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	
;,	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Megan King

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:02 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 10:16 AM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1:	Reject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Michelle Nelson

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:02 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 10:19 AM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy	5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification	<u>n</u>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Henry Myers

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:03 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:		
	>	
Sent: Monday, Novembe	r 28, 2022 10:20 AM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agend	la Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Rejec	t the Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get er <u>https://aka.ms/LearnAl</u>	nail from poutSenderIdentification]	Learn why this is important at
[External Email]		

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Olivia Nelson

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 1:03 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

y		
From:		
	>	
Sent: Monday, November 28,	2022 10:56 AM	
To: City Clerk <		
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Ite	m 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject tl	he Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email f	rom	Learn why this is important at
		Ecult wity this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAbout	senderidentification J	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Ann Monroe

City Clerk < Fri 12/2/2022 7:13 PM To: Agendadesk <

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From:	
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 10:27 PM	
To: City Clerk <	
Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the	Alternative Recommendation
[You don't often get email from	Learn why this is important at
https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]	

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Jae Duvall