

February 20, 2020

To: Public Safety, Finance and Strategic Support Committee
Honorable Chair Raul Peralez and Committee Members

Re: 2.20.2020 PSFSS Items 2, 3 regarding fossil fuel investments

Dear Chair Peralez and Committee Members,

We strongly urge the San Jose City Council to take action on one of its Climate Emergency resolutions to cease new investments in entities that engage primarily in the direct exploration, production, refining, or marketing of fossil fuels, and to prioritize investment in opportunities that would mitigate or limit the effects of burning fossil fuels, such as renewable energy, clean technology, and sustainable communities

Background: We represent the Sierra Club Loma Prieta Chapter and also SJ Community Energy Advocates, a volunteer community group that advocates for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and the continued success of the San Jose Clean Energy program. Back on September 17, 2019, the San Jose City Council adopted a Resolution declaring a Climate Emergency. The Resolution includes many reasons including these:

WHEREAS, humans burning carbon-based fossil fuels- including coal, oil, and natural gas- is the primary cause of substantial and continuing increase of carbon dioxide ("CO2"), a greenhouse gas ("GHG"); and ...

WHEREAS, according to climate scientists, addressing the climate emergency caused by global warming will require an economically just and managed phase-out of oil, gas, and coal to keep fossil fuels in the ground; and...

The City Council resolved seven items including:

7. The City of San José commits to reviewing the City's investment portfolio to identify holdings that include direct investments in fossil fuel companies, to determine whether to cease new investments in fossil fuel companies, and prioritize investment in opportunities that would mitigate or limit the effects of burning fossil fuels, such as renewable energy, clean technology, and sustainable communities.

In Item 2 on today's PSFSS agenda, Finance staff has identified two fossil fuel holdings with one company, Chevron, in the total amount of \$16 million. The City has a \$1.9 billion portfolio. These two investments were purchased in 2019 and mature next year in May 2021. Finance has not purchased fossil fuels investments since the climate emergency was declared by the City Council.

San Jose already has a Social Responsibility provision in its investment policy, provision #22, for new investments. However, the provision does not prohibit investments in entities that engage primarily in fossil fuels.

The City of Oakland and City of Fremont have taken action on prohibiting new fossil fuel investments.
¹Also, in December 2018, the City of Mountain View approved divestment of their fossil fuel investments and revised its investment policy to prohibit new fossil fuel investments.²

There are both environmental and financial reasons to cease new investments in fossil fuel companies. Coincidentally, on the day the San Jose Climate Emergency Resolution was adopted, the University of California's chief investment officer/treasurer and the chairman of the UC Board of Regents' Investments Committee wrote an op-ed in the LA Times about divestment.³ Specifically, they wrote that investing in fossil fuel assets is a financial risk. They stated that the \$13.4 billion endowment fund would be "fossil free" by the end of month, and that the \$70 billion pension would soon be fossil free as well. In 2014, UC signed onto the U.N.'s [Principles for Responsible Investing](#)⁴; in 2015, UC Investments [published](#)⁵ its own Framework for Sustainable Investing, which identifies eight environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors most salient to their work. In 2018, the UC Board of Regents publicly changed the university's investment [policy](#)⁶ to explicitly include ESG in investment decision-making. They have not made new fossil fuel investments in the past five years and the value of the portfolio has grown. They believe that there are more attractive opportunities in new energy sources.

Thank you Chair Peralez and committee members for your leadership in declaring the Climate Emergency. Now that staff has reviewed the City's investments, we strongly urge you revise the City's Investment Policy per Climate Emergency Resolution #7 to cease investments in entities that engage primarily in the direct exploration, production, refining, or marketing of fossil fuels, and to prioritize investment (that meet the City's safety, liquidity and yield objectives) in opportunities that would mitigate or limit the effects of burning fossil fuels, such as renewable energy, clean technology, and sustainable communities.

Sincerely,



Ruth Merino
Chair
San Jose Community Energy Advocates
info@sanjosecommunityenergy.org

s/

Gladwyn d'Souza
Sierra Club Loma Prieta
Conservation Committee Co-chair

¹ <http://www.fossilfreeca.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/CityofOaklandResolution.pdf> and <https://fossilfreeca.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Fremont-Divestment-Resolution.pdf>.

² <https://www.mountainview.gov/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?BlobID=18446> and <https://mountainview.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=3774060&GUID=B71AF445-33D3-43EC-8FC2-DFD01900281C&Options&Search&fbclid=IwAR1he5Vwf1JYT13RKe07-gYyOvnbah3NvBjfp5ITILxhm66OCDAQvsOwkco>

³ <https://www.latimes.com/opinion/story/2019-09-16/divestment-fossil-fuel-university-of-california-climate-change>

⁴ <https://www.unpri.org/>

⁵ https://www.ucop.edu/investment-office/_files/sustainable-investment-framework.pdf

⁶ <https://regents.universityofcalifornia.edu/policies/6102.pdf>